

## REMARKS

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's allowance of Claims 3-18 and 33-62.

Applicants will now address the Examiner's remaining rejections.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC §102

#### Rejection Over Bell

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejects Claims 1-2, 31 and 32 under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by Bell. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

More specifically, independent Claim 2 recites the feature of "wherein the period turn up successively in one frame period" (emphasis added). Applicants submit that this feature is not disclosed or suggested by the cited reference nor has the Examiner shown where this feature is shown in the reference. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that independent Claim 2 and those claims dependent thereon are patentable over the cited reference.

In order to advance the prosecution of this application, Applicants have canceled independent Claim 1 and Claims 5 and 6 dependent thereon.

Accordingly, it is requested that this rejection be withdrawn.

#### Rejection Over Kanaly

The Examiner also rejects Claims 19-23, 25, 27 and 29 under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by Kanaly. This rejection is also respectfully traversed.

More specifically, independent Claim 20 recites the feature of “wherein the period turn up successively in one frame period” (emphasis added). Applicants submit that this feature is not disclosed or suggested by the cited reference nor has the Examiner shown where this feature is shown in the reference. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that independent Claim 20 and those claims dependent thereon are patentable over the cited reference.

In order to advance the prosecution of this application, Applicants have canceled independent Claims 19, 21 and 22 and Claims 23, 24, 27, 28, 29 and 30 dependent thereon.

Accordingly, it is requested that this rejection be withdrawn.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC §103

The Examiner also rejects Claims 24, 26 and 28 under 35 USC §103 as being unpatentable over Kanaly in view of Okumura.

For at least the reasons discussed above, dependent Claim 26 is patentable over the cited references, while Claims 24 and 28 have been canceled, rendering the rejection of those claims moot.

Therefore, it is requested that this rejection now be withdrawn.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

Applicants are preparing an IDS which will be submitted in a few days. It is requested that no further action be issued on this application until this IDS has been considered.

Conclusion


It is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a condition for allowance and should be allowed.

If any a fee is due for this amendment, please charge our Deposit Account No. 50-1039.

Favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 10, 2004

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Mark J. Murphy  
Registration No. 34,225

COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO,  
CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD.  
200 West Adams Street  
Suite 2850  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 236-8500